



Mr. BENTLEY'S SERMON.

E BER

KARCHE BERGE

143



SERMON,

A

1621

PREACHED

AT THE STONE CHAPEL

BOSTON,

1 N

SEPTEMBER 12, 1790.

ВY

WILLIAM BENTLEY, A.M.

PASTOR of the Second Congregational Church in S A L E M.

PUBLISHED AT THE REQUEST OF THE HEARERS.

BOSTON:

Printed by SAMUEL HALL, at No. 53, Cornhill. MDCCXC.





SERMON.

MATTHEW VII. 21.

WERE CONTRACTOR

NOT EVERY ONE THAT SAITH UNTO ME, LORD, LORD, SHALL ENTER INTO THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN; BUT HE THAT DOTH THE WILL OF MY FATHER, WHO IS IN HEAVEN.

HE ingenuous fimplicity, which recommends the doctrines and precepts of Jefus Chrift, forms a very pleafing evidence of his defign to reform the world. Should an impartial ftranger confider the hiftory of his religion at its most favorable periods, he could hardly imagine that it had fo pure an origin; that the language of condescention, and the fimple precepts of life, infpired by an hope of immortality, could produce various and contending

608537

contending fects, who had loft all affection for each other, had neglected the best virtues of life. and had built their hopes of glory on zeal and contention. Still the melancholy proofs of fuch conduct have not prevented the belief, that nothing could be more benevolent than the character of Jesus Chrift. Every thing kind flowed from his lips. He was born in humble life, and never rofe in his diftinctions beyond what humble life could fuggeft and comprehend. Affection was recommended in every difcourfe; points never debated with paffion ; the hiftory of his religion, fo offenfive to his countrymen, intimated in parables; and every idea of limited defign excluded from his heart. Is it not time to recur to the inftructions of this wife friend of mankind, and to accept them uncorrupted by traditions, creeds or councils? Should a preacher appear in this affembly, of venerable piety, of fimple manners, and with all the marks of humble birth, and declare, as the introduction to his religious fervice, a zeal for the most important moral truths, and declare that by their fruits men

[6]

men fhould be known; and to our text fhould he fubjoin, Many will fay to me, Lord, Lord, have we not prophecied in thy name, and in thy name done many wonderful works, and then I will profefs to them, I never knew you; and fhould he clofe with the memorable words which reprefented only thofe who obeyed his precepts as founded on a rock; could you fuppofe his defign myfterious, or his doctrine partial, or illiberal? Judge ye then of yourfelves, what is right.

Let us then, in the confideration of the text, endeavour to obtain the most obvious sense of the several expressions, that we may be sure of the general sentiment they contain.

What could he have intended, in faying, that many would fay, Lord, Lord? The words fubjoined, very fully explain the intention. He that doth the will of my Father, fhall be preferred. And the pretensions of fuch perfons are cited in the next verse, in which the exercise of even supernatural powers is considered as in itself unavailing. We have corresponding expressions

preffions in Paul, who confidered diffinctly, all the evident endowments, of which the mind is capable, both miraculous and natural, and declared them infufficient without charity, which he defined to be practical godlinefs, to deferve the christian character. They then, who cry, Lord, Lord, are they who do not obey the gofpel, how far fo ever they may go in pretentions of affection for it. Though they hold the first ranks, and the first gifts in the church ; if they have the most commanding eloquence in delivering truth to others, or the most availing external fanctity, to gain them refpect and confidence : Yea, if God should even supernaturally endue them for the most noble ends of his providence ; yet, if they have not a right, moral temper, the whole shall not avail to place them among the meaneft of those who have been obedient. What a check this is to the prefumptuous, to the uncharitable, to the hypocritical, and to the unfaithful ! Heaven and happinefs were not defigned by God as the exclusive rights of learned priefts, or ingenious doctors ;

doctors; they are the end which God has propofed for all mankind, and are therefore, by the fame means, attainable by all men. Riches and honors cannot enfure the purchafe; neither can learning, pompous titles, respect nor dignity. Virtue alone is the moral happinefs of the world, and perfonal virtue alone fecures heaven. Let then priefts declaim, this is fure, that the increase of virtue is the increase of happinefs; and whatever found may be made in this world, virtue alone will diftinguish us in the next.

Having feen how our religious advantages may render us happy, by rendering us virtuous, let us, in the next place, inquire, what Jefus intended by doing the will of his Father. And he has fo connected his difcourfe, that whatever fense may be applied to his words, in themselves confidered, they here admit only one explanation. When he announces his laft bleffing, it is upon those who keep these fayings and do them; and thefe fayings or precepts are the will of

B

[10]

of God. As no new ritual is proposed, or doctrine which does not require action, fomething to be done, we may fafely infer, that when he propounded his falvation to his countrymen, propofed his own rewards, upon doing the will of God, he intended his commandments. What the nature and extent of these commands were, he has determined by the preceding parts of his difcourfe; fo that his rewards are offered to all who conformed to their conceptions of their moral obligations, and the foundation of his religion is placed in this difposition. For whatever consisted in belief, public profession, and zeal, they were supposed to perform, while the most important end of religion was neglected.

Such as were obedient, were of the kingdom of heaven. This expression very frequently occurs, and never when it may not in some fense apply to the history of Christianity. By some it has been thought to denote the commencement of a future state, but never is so necessarily limited limited to this fenfe, as to prevent its general defign to reprefent the progrefs of Chriftian truth in the world. When they, who do the will of God, are pronounced to be of the kingdom of heaven, it intends, that as to them, religion has its true and most acceptable effect : That the proper way to fecure divine bleffing, way to obey, to act most agreeably to our obligations, and from the best motives reason or revelation could furnish. This obedience depends on our habitual inclination, connected with reasonable pursuits after knowledge. The different capacities of men may admit degrees of virtue, but all are consistent with religious integrity.

From the fentiment of the text, it is then inferred, that all men are, and always have been, capable of falvation. For if no external advantages, or perfonal knowledge of a revelation, can avail, without an habitual difposition to obedience, and this obedience is to be judged by its fruits, then this difposition is preferred to all

all those advantages, in themselves confidered. And as the will of God implies the obligations of his creatures to all moral duties; fo far as they are fenfible of them, and conform, they do, in their measure, which is all that can be required, perform his will, the end propofed in the kingdom of God by Jefus Chrift. This is an obvious doctrine of Jefus; and perhaps the reason why any have been taught to overlook it, has been, that it favors not those comparative, if not exclusive advantages to which fome pretend, as it certainly does not their hopes, who cry, Lord, Lord, with affected devotion, and for their accomplishments, real or pretended, would be thought better than others, without any just claims. When men can inform us of a more noble end in revelation, than to perfect the conftitutions which God has given us, we may fuspect our argument; but when all the various opinions may accord with this end, in the judgments of their feveral advocates, we cannot be blamed for accepting the conclusion as free from their intricacies, or abfurdities, as is poffible.

T 12 T

possible. For while objects and powers are to admirably connected in the whole fystem, to make an abstruse religion, in order to introduce a diffinction, which is internal; fince the exclufive help attributed to the Christian religion makes no outward diffinction in the moral world, and the effects of the different motives may account for all we fee, is to affront the fimplicity of the Chriftian faith. But by placing religion in fober action, we are able to fee how all men are accountable for their respective advantages; and hereby we leave not God as a respecter of perfons, and prevent not the equitable diffribution of his favors. God, we all know, has varioufly beftowed his gifts upon the human race; in equal variety he may have beflowed his moral as his other benefits; but as neither are in their relations unequal, and both may have a worthy end, there is no injustice with him. Without fuch fentiments, our moft evident conceptions of justice may be violated.

What evidence should we confider as sufficient to prove this to be a doctrine of the Chriftian tian religion? At the critical moment when this truth was to be declared, should an heathen, poffeffed of devout refpect to heaven, and exemplary in his life, be declared acceptable to God, and revelation affift this true light with miraculous teftimony, would it be conclusive ? Can any evidence be better adapted ? This we can produce, without any forced or critical conftruction, in politive terms. Peter was taught to call nothing unclean, becaufe the Jews thought other nations without the favor of God. Cornelius, a Roman officer in the province, was, for his devotion and alms, accepted of God. He was not profelyted to the Jewish religion, because Peter fays, it was unlawful to keep company with him. Yet upon this evidence Peter exclaims, Of a truth I perceive that God is no respecter of persons, for in every nation, he that feareth him, and worketh righteoufnefs, is accepted of him. Nor was this truth queftioned in the difputes respecting the use of the Hebrew ritual. If evidence, in fuch circumstances, produces not conviction, arguments may be applied in vain. And what a generous idea

[14]

idea does this truth give us of God ! He was the friend of Ifrael, that in the end he might advance a universal religion ; but for a distant good, he never disregarded a present benefit. He knew the capacity he had given, and the feveral means by which it might be improved. He knew the diftance of our most pure conceptions from truth, in all its relations, and could eafily pardon the little varieties in those opinions, which were, at beft, imperfect. If he took care for ravens, how much more would he take care of mankind ! How much more acceptable is the honest devotion of an heathen, than the hypocrify of a Chriftian ! How much more pure the charity of a favage, than the pulpit-anathemas of a prieft against churches which differ from his own. Blufh, O Chriftian, that thy illiberal heart can call thy God to justify thy infolent attacks on other men, and fear left thy fate, more miserable than their own, teach thee, with forrow, to renounce the execrable idea.

And what may we infer more readily from this truth, than that the natural advantages of men

men conftitute their only probation? Their gratitude is to arife from bleffing they do really receive, their only devotion from the glory they behold, and their only obedience from the obligations they perceive. And do not our minds revolt, at the first reflection, on any other conftitution ? Let mifery, in any degree, be increafed by the guilt of men, that they fhould not rife beyond the ftrength of their powers in fuch state, is curfe enough. But to superadd a gift which man has no natural power or inclination to accept, even when connected with his highest happiness, is a policy unworthy the bounty of heaven. As revelation shews us the motives adapted to ftrengthen and improve our virtue, will not God direct the natural powers to the beft advantage, if he intends a bounty ? Our most familiar ideas of goodness, especially fuch as the gospel recommends, affure us of it, and we dishonor God by any other supposition. We are all his offspring.

We may also infer the original dignity of natural religion. For what good ends Chriftians

tians have shaken the foundations of their own religion, by depreciating natural religion, may not be eafy to determine; but if the text is rightly explained, natural religion is ftill the most excellent religion. This confists in doing the will of God, as our inquiries may make it known to us, and our knowledge of its agreement with our nature confirms us in our affection for it. Neither this law, nor its authority, is weakened by Christianity; for as Christianity has the fame object, it can, at beft, but claim to affift us in the knowledge, and confirm us in the practice of it. For to suppose an original law fo defective in itfelf as not to be fufficient to direct us, is to admit, at once, a defect in our conftitution, which is as diffionorable to God, as to charge him with changes in the conftitution of any other part of nature, because his original laws were not fufficient. Revelation cannot then be admitted but as a part of the original plan, or rather as fuch a fpring as may act in fubferviency to the original law, till a variety of caufes, wifely fitted to act, may render C

F 18]

der this affiftance unneceffary. Christianity is an help to recover the full force of natural law. The Son himself shall then be put under, and God, by perfecting human nature, be all in all.

If this be true, there is not an abfolute, but only a relative difference in the condition of men. Natural law is always right, Chriftianity will affift men to go right. There is nothing beyond our conceptions in this view of Chriftianity. If God was pleafed to conftitute us for happy, natural action in the prefent being, and yet render us capable of future life, but not place the evidence of this future life fo certainly in our natural condition as not to admit other evidence, we may not doubt of the benefit, and that he is able to make the evidence appear wife and fit. And when it concurs with natural religion, by our prefent good, to promote our final good, it may affift fome, while it offers no injury to others; and as all things may be in a progreffive state, it may, at some future time, be as universal as natural religion itfelf. itfelf. But whatever our opinions of fuch things may be, we fhould be careful not fo to frame them as to exclude natural law, and injure one of our firft and moft noble ideas of perfection, an impartial regard to the capacities of creatures which God has created for happinefs. However we may magnify our relative advantages, let us leave all men fufficient for wife action ; and if our juft and exalted ideas of our own privileges do produce as juft and exalted improvements, we are more fure not to be found among those boafting profeffors, who have faid much and done little, and may have our reward in ample glory for doing the will of God.

The practical use of our text should be, to teach us to place religion in those things in which it really confists. However numerous our doctrines, whether simple or mysterious; whether we receive all the dogmas of the Church or not, let us confider that we should produce good fruits. To have accurate and well defined ed fentiments, is not unworthy of our most ferious attention. To be diffinguished, may be most laudable ambition. But let us not mistake either of them for religion, without regard to our actions. All the orthodoxy in the world will not vindicate a dishonest man. If we believe in fupernatural gifts, and that we poffefs them, let us doubt our religion, if we allow ourselves to violate justice, defame or injure other men. However God may produce his defired regeneration in the mind, let it not have a visionary, but an active operation, that we may shew, out of a good understanding, the works of meeknefs and love. But all the exercifes of inftrumental religion are not worth one good deed, and a difhoneft act is more than a balance for them all. We cannot be too careful to affift our virtue by the fimple inftitutions of religion; thefe ought to have been done, and not the other left undone; but we are guilty of heinous iniquity, if we make them a cloke to our vices. Let us then reflect on the various duties of external religion, which we have attended

[20]

tended with fuch deception. How many folemn founds without fober thought! how many gracious words and ill actions! how many attempts to deceive by affumed gravity ! how many tears in vain ! and let us learn to defpife fuch hypocrify. Let the language of our countenances express the cheerful devotion of our hearts. Let our gravity arife from a just fense of religious truth, and all our actions have an undifputed testimony to our fincerity. The cries, and groans, and complaints of dangerous errors, difturb the weak, the credulous and fuperftitious. But the fingle act of injuffice speaks louder to a difcerning man than all this cant and hypocrify. When a man is found, who does not profess much, nor despise all, who is pure from guile, peaceable in his life, gentle in his manners, eafily diffuaded from revenge, with an heart to pity and relieve the miferable, impartial in his judgement, and without diffimulation, this is the man of religion. This is an apostolic description of a good man; and whatever opinions he may have, he ought to have fome, and he has a right to chuse for himself; this man is after God's own heart. The

The candid fentiment of the text demands also a practical effect. The opinions of men belong to God, and the confciences of men are subject to no human tribunal. But wherever they have a virtuous effect, we ought in charity to suppose the favor of God. When the Mahomedan journies to Mecca, as his acts of felfdenial fpring from his ferious belief, we have no authority to determine in God's stead. But when we fee his devotion, his zeal, and the acts of his unfeigned obedience, our affection should teach us with what tenderness the Univerfal Parent views his creatures innocently erring before him. And we should difmiss all the partialities, which arife from our own particular connections. We fhould be particularly kind to virtue, wherever we may behold it; and prefer, in this regard, the diftant ftranger, who practifes it, to the child of our bosom, who neglects it. By confidering religion in this amiable view, we may increase our love for it, and be induced to confider ourfelves, not of fmall focieties only, under the formal obligations of focial

focial contracts, but as belonging to the household of the faithful, who dwell in every nation, and in every clime, with one God and Father, who hateth nothing that he has made, but loveth and cheristheth it.

While we confider religion in connection with the unnumbered ceremonies of fuperftition, fpread in strange confusion over the earth, we may be inclined to forget the reverence we owe to it, and defpife the true excellence and glory of our nature. When we observe how often the various incidents of life tear afunder the mask, and expose the dreadful features concealed under it, we may be induced to curfe the religion which affifted the difguife. But when we familiarife the reflection, that true religion is true virtue, and that it is only fuperfition which lends the false appearance, we shall deteft the imposition, not more as an infult to man, than to religion itfelf. And how happy should we be, if we could attain that Christian perfection, when we may love to appear what WC

we are, and yet deferve the character of true Chriftians. We cannot be too cautious. A form of prayer will eafily pafs upon us as devotion. We may eafily miftake our gifts for divine fervor, and pafs on with our neighbours, and be as zealous as they, without the leaft virtue. Our caution fhould increafe with our danger, and we fhould remember, that our great obligation is to keep the heart with all diligence, fince from that alone are the iffues of life.









